



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/214,881	06/07/1999	SHOICHI OZAKI	S71761PCUS	8089
7	590 11/25/2002			
CHRISTOPHER J. BUNTEL, PH.D. BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 910 LOUISIANA			EXAMINER	
			NOLAN, PATRICK J	
HOUSTON, TX 77002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	90
			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002	72

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/214,881 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Patrick J. Nolan

1644

Ozaki et al.



,					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
	ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the pe - If NO pe - Failure to	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
earned p	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nis Communication, even in turnery med, may reduce any			
Status					
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 27, 2				
_	This action is FINAL . 2b)				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 (Claim(s) <u>4, 6, 14, and 16</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗌 (Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢 (Claim(s) <u>4, 6, 14, and 16</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌 (Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌 (Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers					
9) 🗆 📑	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) 🗆	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) 🗆	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗆 All b) 🗀 Some* c) 🗀 None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2	$2.\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	ice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 31	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) (X) Imor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 37	6) Other:			

Serial Number: 09/214,881

Art Unit: 1644

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 4, 6, 14 and 16 are pending.

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9-27-02 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ayer et al., (of record, cited as reference V in Paper No. 15).

Applicant argues in Paper No. 20 submitted 1-24-02, that Ayer et al., teaches that the autoantibodies were derived from a person with systemic sclerosis, which is not claimed, so therefore the invention could be anticipated.

However, the bovine HMG-1 and 2 proteins taught by Ayer et al, are the same proteins recited in the claims. The bovine HMG 1 and 2 proteins ability to bind to autoantibodies from patients with the recited diseases would be an inherent function of the proteins.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this

Serial Number: 09/214,881

Art Unit: 1644

title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103[©] and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 4 and 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Ayer et al. (V), of record, in view of Zuk et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,281,061 issued July 28, 1981).

Ayer et al., has been discussed supra. The claimed invention differs from the prior art teachings only by the recitation of a kit with said reagents. However, Zuk et al. teach that reagents for an immunoassay can be provided as kits as a matter of convenience and to optimize the sensitivity of the assay in the range of interest (col 22, line 62 - col 23, line 4).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the necessary reagents to perform the immunodiagnostic assay in a kit format for the convenience and economy of the user. One would have been motivated to assemble the reagents in a kit format to standardize the reagents for the optimization the assay for use in a clinical diagnostic laboratory or physician's office.

Applicant argues in Paper No. 20 submitted 1-24-02, that Ayer et al., teaches that the autoantibodies were derived from a person with systemic sclerosis, which is not claimed, so therefore the invention can not be made obvious.

See response set forth supra.

- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Nolan whose telephone number is (703) 305-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.
- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at (703) 305-3973. The FAX number for our group, 1644, is (703) 305-7939.

Serial Number: 09/214,881

Art Unit: 1644

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D. Primary Examiner, Group 1640 November 22, 2002

Patr LNOZ